

# Nitrogen-fixing Woody Perennials for Agroforestry Systems in Germany

## AUTHORS

Sean Limas  
Julia Schneider<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Organic Farming,  
University of Hohenheim

## I. INTRODUCTION

Integrating nitrogen-fixing woody perennials (NWP) into agroforestry systems has the potential to improve nutrient cycling while promoting the growth of neighbouring crops. An innovative strategy is crucial, especially for organic farming in Germany, to minimise the risk of nutrient deficiency due to sole reliance on nitrogen-fixing cover crops<sup>(1)</sup>.

### Why NWP?

NWP can address these challenges by increasing the nutrient and carbon accumulation at the soil surface level through nutrient pumping effect<sup>(2)</sup>. They also have higher nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) in their litter compared to other woody perennials<sup>(3)</sup>. Nevertheless, most importantly, studies in tropical plantations have shown that mixed-species plantations with NWP have positive feedback on adjacent and succeeding crops<sup>(4)</sup>.

## II. METHODS

NWP species were selected from Crawford (1995) and screened based on the following characteristics: Species origin, frost tolerance (Hardiness Zone 7b to 9), German Negative List, Probability of nodule formation, and plant height and shade tolerance.

The plant name was cross-referenced to GBIF (Global Biodiversity Information Facility) for their species name and common name.

## IV. DISCUSSION

### Recommended System

Based on the literatures, NWP is recommended to be implemented in alley cropping, intercropping, and silvopastoral System. NWP will benefit by conserve soil fertility<sup>(5)</sup> stabilised yield<sup>(6,7,8)</sup>, improve grass and fodder quality<sup>(9,10)</sup>, reduce water and wind erosion<sup>(5)</sup>, and reduce environmental stress on cash crop<sup>(11)</sup>.

### Potential Challenge

Observation in mixed silviculture showed improved soil quality, but, no increase in neighbouring plant's biomass. Some hypothesis are:

- (1) Water availability<sup>(12)</sup>,
- (2) Nutrient competition<sup>(13)</sup>,
- (3) Limiting nutrient in the soil – soil fertility status quo<sup>(13)</sup>,
- (4) Suitability with neighbouring plant: neighbour with similar foliage C:N ratio is a direct competition<sup>(14)</sup>.

## III. RESULTS

Species Name	N-Fixing Capacity (kg/ha·year)	Height (m)	Management	Value Added
<b>Large/Medium Trees</b>				
<i>Alnus cordata</i> (Loisel.) Duby (Italian Alder)	60-360 <sup>[a]</sup>	25	SRC & P <sup>[g]</sup>	Bee plant
<i>Alnus glutinosa</i> (L.) Gaertn. (European Alder)	185 <sup>[b]</sup>	25	SRC & P <sup>[h]</sup>	Dye, bee plant, tannins, fodder, and medicinal plant
<i>Alnus hirsuta</i> (Spach) Rupr. (Manchurian Alder)	56.4 <sup>[c]</sup> , 60 <sup>[d]</sup>	18	SRC & P <sup>[i]</sup>	Dye
<i>Alnus rubra</i> Bong. (Red Alder)	130 <sup>[e]</sup> , 62 <sup>[f]</sup>	20	SRC & P <sup>[j]</sup>	Sap, dye, and medicinal plant
<b>Small Trees/Large Shrubs</b>				
<i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> L. (Russian Olive)	240 <sup>[a]</sup>	7	SRC <sup>[i]</sup> & P <sup>[k]</sup>	Edible fruit & seed, bee plant, and medicinal plant
<i>Elaeagnus umbellata</i> Thunb. (Autumn Elaeagnus)	236 <sup>[b]</sup>	4.5	P <sup>[k]</sup>	Edible fruit & seed, bee plant, soil stabiliser, and medicinal plant
<b>Medium/Small Shrubs</b>				
<i>Alnus alnobetula</i> subsp. <i>alnobetula</i> (Green Alder)	60-360 <sup>[a]</sup>	3	P <sup>[j]</sup>	Dye and medicinal plant
<i>Elaeagnus multiflora</i> Thunb. (Cherry Silverberry)	240 <sup>[a]</sup>	3	n.a.	Edible fruit & seed, bee plant, and medicinal plant
<i>Elaeagnus latifolia</i> L. (Oleaster)	240 <sup>[a]</sup>	3	n.a.	Edible fruit & seed, bee plant, and ground cover

Fig. 1 Nitrogen-fixing Trees and Shrubs Collection. **Green**: European Origin, **Purple**: Full-shade tolerant, SRC: Short-rotation coppice, P: Pruning.

- |                                 |                               |                          |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Crawford (1995)              | e) Binkley, D. (1981)         | i) Wilson et al., (2021) |
| b) Paschke, M. W. et al (1989)  | f) Tripp, L. N. et al. (1979) | j) Wilcox (2003)         |
| c) Tobita et al. (2013)         | g) Ducci & Tani (2009)        | k) USDA (n.d.)           |
| d) Lee, Y. Y., & Son, Y. (2005) | h) Daugaviete, et al., (2022) |                          |

### Management Recommendation

- (1) Regular pruning<sup>(15)</sup>,
- (2) Prevent water competition with neighbouring plants<sup>(13)</sup>,
- (3) Spacing and canopy layer arrangement<sup>(16,17)</sup>,
- (4) Suitable for land reclamation and reconditioning<sup>(18)</sup>.

## V. CONCLUSION

NWP species from the *Alnus* and *Elaeagnus* families offer promising potential for temperate agroforestry systems in Germany. These species play a crucial role in nutrient distribution, both through litter deposition above ground and root connections below ground. To maximize the benefits of these NWP, it's essential for neighboring plants to exhibit different C:N ratios in their foliage. However, realizing the full potential of integrated NWP in agroforestry requires the implementation of specific management systems tailored to their needs.



# Nitrogen-fixing Woody Perennials for Agroforestry Systems in Germany

## AUTHORS

Sean Limas  
Julia Schneider<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Organic Farming,  
University of Hohenheim

## REFERENCE

1. Reimer, M., Hartmann, T. E., Oelofse, M., Magid, J., Bünemann, E. K., & Möller, K. (2020). Reliance on biological nitrogen fixation depletes soil phosphorus and potassium reserves. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 118(3), 273–291. doi:10.1007/s10705-020-10101-w
2. Isaac, M. E., & Borden, K. A. (2019). Nutrient acquisition strategies in Agroforestry Systems. *Plant and Soil*, 444(1–2), 1–19. doi:10.1007/s11104-019-04232-5
3. Harper, G., Omari, K., Kranabetter, M., & Courtin, P. (2023). Assessment of a 20-year-old mixed western Redcedar/Red Alder Plantation in southwestern British Columbia. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 529, 120737. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120737
4. Levy-Varon, J. H., Batterman, S. A., Medvigy, D., Xu, X., Hall, J. S., van Breugel, M., & Hedin, L. O. (2019). Tropical Carbon Sink accelerated by symbiotic dinitrogen fixation. *Nature Communications*, 10(1). doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13656-7
5. Ellison, D., Morris, C. E., Locatelli, B., Sheil, D., Cohen, J., Murdiyarto, D., ... Sullivan, C. A. (2017). Trees, forests and water: Cool insights for a hot world. *Global Environmental Change*, 43, 51–61. doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.01.002
6. Russo, R.O. (2005). Nitrogen-fixing trees with actinorhiza in forestry and agroforestry. In D. Werner & W.E. Newton (Eds.), *Nitrogen Fixation in Agriculture, Forestry, Ecology, and the Environment* (pp. 143–171). The Netherlands: Springer.
7. Isaac, M.E., Timmer, V.R., & Quashie-Sam, S.J. (2007). Shade tree effects in an 8-year-old cocoa agroforestry system: biomass and nutrient diagnosis of *Theobroma cacao* by vector analysis. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 78, 155–165.
8. Sileshi, G. W., Mafongoya, P. L., Akinnifesi, F. K., Phiri, E., Chirwa, P., Beedy, T., ... Jiri, O. (2014). Agroforestry: Fertilizer trees. *Encyclopedia of Agriculture and Food Systems*, 222–234. doi:10.1016/b978-0-444-52512-3.00022-x
9. Muñoz, C., Zagal, E., & Ovalle, C. (2007). Influence of trees on soil organic matter in Mediterranean agroforestry systems: An example from the ?Espinal? of Central Chile. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 58(3), 728–735. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2006.00858.x
10. Kass, D. C. L., Sylvester-Bradley, R., & Nygren, P. (1997). The role of nitrogen fixation and nutrient supply in some agroforestry systems of the Americas. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 29(5–6), 775–785. doi:10.1016/s0038-0717(96)00269-6
11. Beer, J., Muschler, R., Kass, D., & Somarriba, E. (1998). Shade management in coffee and cacao plantations. *Agroforestry Systems*, 38, 139–164.
12. Shults, P., Nzokou, P., & Koc, I. (2020). Nitrogen contributions of alley cropped trifolium pratense may sustain short rotation woody crop yields on marginal lands. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 117(2), 261–272. doi:10.1007/s10705-020-10068-8
13. Son, Y., Lee, Y. Y., Lee, C. Y., & Yi, M. J. (2007). Nitrogen fixation, soil nitrogen availability, and biomass in pure and mixed plantations of alder and pine in central Korea. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 30(11), 1841–1853. doi:10.1080/01904160701628999
14. Staccone, A. P., Kou-Giesbrecht, S., Taylor, B. N., & Menge, D. N. (2020). Nitrogen-fixing trees have no net effect on forest growth in the coterminous United States. *Journal of Ecology*, 109(2), 877–887. doi:10.1111/1365-2745.13513
15. Nygren, P., Fernández, M. P., Harmand, J.-M., & Leblanc, H. A. (2012). Symbiotic dinitrogen fixation by trees: An underestimated resource in agroforestry systems? *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 94(2–3), 123–160. doi:10.1007/s10705-012-9542-9
16. Certini, G., Chiara Manetti, M., Mariotti, B., Maltoni, A., Moretti, G., & Pelleri, F. (2023). Does association with N-fixing nurse trees improve carbon sequestration in walnut plantations? case-study on a reclaimed opencast mine in Italy. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 545, 121245. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2023.121245
17. Menge, D. N., DeNoyer, J. L., & Lichstein, J. W. (2010). Phylogenetic constraints do not explain the rarity of nitrogen-fixing trees in late-successional temperate forests. *PLoS ONE*, 5(8). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012056
18. Bobinac, M., Andrasev, S., Perovic, M., Bauer-Zivkovic, A., & Jorgic, D. (2015). Growth elements of Italian alder (*Alnus cordata* /Loisel. / Desf.) trees - Potentially applicable species in Serbia. In V. Ivetic & D. Stankovic (Eds.), *Proceedings: International Conference Reforestation Challenges* (pp. 276–281). Reforesta.

## TABLE REFERENCE

- a) Crawford, M. (1995). *Nitrogen-fixing plants for temperate climates*. Dartington (Devon): Agroforestry Research Trust.
- b) Paschke, M. W., Dawson, J. O., & David, M. B. (1989). Soil nitrogen mineralization in plantations of *Juglans nigra* interplanted with Actinorhizal *Elaeagnus umbellata* and *Alnus glutinosa*. *Plant and Soil*, 118(1–2), 33–42. doi:10.1007/bf02232788
- c) Tobita, H., Hasegawa, S. F., Yazaki, K., Komatsu, M., & Kitao, M. (2013). Growth and N<sub>2</sub> fixation in an *Alnus hirsuta* (Turcz.) var. *Sibirica* stand in Japan. *Journal of Biosciences*, 38(4), 761–776. doi:10.1007/s12038-013-9369-9
- d) Lee, Y. Y., & Son, Y. (2005). Diurnal and seasonal patterns of nitrogen fixation in *Alnus hirsuta* plantation of Central Korea. *Journal of Plant Biology*, 48(3), 332–337. doi:10.1007/bf03030531
- e) Binkley, D. (1981). Nodule biomass and acetylene reduction rates of red alder and Sitka Alder on Vancouver Island, B.C. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 11(2), 282–287. doi:10.1139/x81-037
- f) Tripp, L. N., Bezdicek, D. F., & Heilman, P. E. (1979). Seasonal and Diurnal Patterns and Rates of Nitrogen Fixation by Young Red Alder. *Forest Science*, 25(2), 371–380. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestscience/25.2.371
- g) Ducci, F., & Tani, A. (2009). Italian alder (*Alnus cordata*) EUFORGEN. DOI:10.13140/RG.2.2.20153.11364
- h) Daugaviete, M., Makovskis, K., Lazdins, A., & Lazdina, D. (2022). Suitability of fast-growing tree species (*Salix* spp., *populus* spp., *Alnus* spp.) for the establishment of economic agroforestry zones for biomass energy in the Baltic Sea Region. *Sustainability*, 14(24), 16564. https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416564
- i) Wilson, S. McG., Mason, B., Savill, P., & Jinks, R. (2018). Non-native alder species (*Alnus* spp.). https://rfs.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/non-native-alder-species-alnus-spp.pdf
- j) Wilcox, M. D. 2003. *Elaeagnus angustifolia*. In *PlantWorld - a compendium of plants of the world*. Mangere Bridge, Auckland, New Zealand (unpublished).
- k) USDA (n.d.). *Plants Database*. https://plants.usda.gov/home



## CONTACT

Sean Limas  
Universität Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany  
seanalexander.bastamanlimas@uni-hohenheim.de